

From: [David G FARRER](#)
To: [Chip_Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Mike Poulsen](#)
Subject: GASCO
Date: 01/05/2011 12:41 PM

Mike and Chip,

Based on some public comments to the affect that all Portland Harbor beaches are accessible to the general public from the water, we combined recreational and industrial beaches into one group, simply called beaches. One beach, GASCO, turned up a cumulative first-30-years exposure cancer risk over 1 in 1000 due to direct exposure to sediments on that beach. Because all other beaches are fine for recreational use, we want to highlight that beach in a way that the general public will recognize it. Is there any legal reason why we couldn't use the term "GASCO" in our report? We think that interested people in general, especially the CAG, might recognize the name GASCO better than anything else we could come up with.

Don't worry, we'll give you time to review this before we release it publicly.

What do you think?

Dave